

Safe Animal Friendly Environments (SAFE)

Prepared by UniSA University of South Australia

Funded by the Society for Companion Animal Studies



Acknowledgements

Expert Consultants

Dr. Chalette Brown BSc DVM MANZCVS (Veterinary Behaviour) – Dogs Dr. Kim Kendall BVSc, MACVSc (Cat Medicine) MACVSc (Animal Behaviour) – Cats Dr Tijana Simic BVSc (Hons) – Small Mammals Dr Lauren Williams BSc DVM – Birds Dr Stephen Pyecroft BVSc (Hons) PhD MANZCVS (Pathology and Aquatic Animal Health) – Fish Mrs Pauline Acott – Consumer consultant (carer)

Project Team

Dr Janette Young PhD – Project Lead University of South Australia Dr Torben Nielsen PhD – Public Health Veterinarian, University of Adelaide Ms Deborah Burton BNg PGDip (AgedServsMgt) – Aged Care Consultant Mr Joshua Zoanetti B.Sc (AnimalSc) – Research Assoc Ms Emma Milanese BHIthSc (Hons) – Research Assistant



This project was supported by: <u>The Society</u> for Companion Animal Studies (SCAS), UK.

Graphic Design by Beanstalk.

Cover photos courtesy of (left to right); Marliese Streefland (dog), Manja Vitolic (cat), Andriyko Podilnyk (rabbits), Sharon McCutcheon (bird), Linh Nguyen (fish – Pearl Gourami. Hiding from the other one via Flickr available under CC BY-NC-ND 2.0, https://www.flickr.com/photos/ Ing0004/5855464616/)

We acknowledge the traditional owners and custodians of the lands on which we work and live across Australia. We pay our respects to elders of the past, present and into the future.

Contents

Introduction						
Aim of the Tool						
Application	7					
SAFE – Risk Management Tool	9					
Overarching Considerations	10					
🗳 Dogs	13					
🗳 Cats	16					
Small Mammals	19					
🗳 Birds	22					
🗳 Fish	24					

Suggested Tools	26
Useful Resources	27
Key Organisations	28
Evidence Sources	29

Introduction

SAFE is a companion-animal, multi-species risk management tool developed in 2021 by an Australian team of expert academics, veterinarians, (human) health and consumer representatives with funding support from the Society for Companion Animal Studies (UK). The project was undertaken as a Delphi study in 2020/21 whereby people with specialist knowledge relevant to our focus (species expert veterinarians, aged care and consumers of aged care) were recruited to create, review and moderate the risk management framework that is core to this document. We are indebted to this team of experts and to the Society for Companion Animal Studies (<u>http://www.scas.org.uk/</u>) who funded the project.

The core of the SAFE tool is the tables, which are each preceded by a best practice case study showing the tool in use.

The front section provides guidance for using the tool and the document is rounded off with lists of resources, links and other suggested tools and evidence sources to use with SAFE.



Aim of the Tool

The intended aim in developing this resource was to create a framework that could assist in the process of assessing how companion animals across the key species that live in close human contact could safely be included in communal aged care settings.

Our intention is to support maximising the potential for people entering aged care to keep their pets, enhancing the wellbeing of people at an extremely stressful life stage in combination with seeking to reduce the number of pets who become homeless or may even be euthanised when their human guardian and companion is no longer able to live independently. The aim of the framework is to facilitate and extend the likelihood of long term pets continuing to live with their human guardians/owners even in high level care communal settings through providing a framework for assessing the risks that both humans and animals may encounter in these settings, the level and impact of these risks, and methods to reduce these risks to acceptable levels. Very few risks are unmanageable.

This noted, proper and caring risk assessment may at times identify that a pet animal will be best served by not joining their guardian. However this tool offers the opportunity to carefully explore the potential for co-residence when desired.

Where not possible for a loved pet to join their owner, discussions as to how ongoing contact can be maintained, as desired/appropriate, with the animal's new guardians (eg; visits, photographs) should occur. Access to grief counselling should be communicated, and facilitated as needed.



It is assumed that SAFE will be used in conjunction with:

- Human health histories of both animal guardians and co-residents
- \checkmark Robust infection control policies and procedures
- Animal health care histories and established care practices

(eg desexing, vaccination, parasite control, registration, micro-chipping etc appropriate to the species and location) *See <u>pages 26-29</u> for a list of suggested tools, resources and references to support the risk management assessment process.

Application

The SAFE tool has been developed with a specific focus on communal aged care settings, particularly informed by the Australian context. However we believe that most of the risks outlined are recognisable internationally in other communal care settings and we welcome the possibility of the tool being adopted or adapted to these.

The tool encompasses the following risks in communal aged care settings:

- Risks from humans to animals
- Risks from animal to animals
- Risks from animals to humans

The detailed risk management tables included in this document move from a summative table of overarching risks from humans to animals; animals to animals; all species to humans; followed by tables on risks specific to the main species/kinds of animals kept as pets - Dogs, Cats, Small Mammals, Birds and Fish.

Each risk has been identified against:

- 1. Likelihood of occurrence
- 2. Potential level of impact
- 3. Moderating or remediating steps
- 4. Consequent likelihoods and levels of impact



Most Risks Can Be Managed Within Required Hygiene Practices In Aged Care Settings

The major documented risks are identified across dogs, cats, birds, small mammals, birds and fish. Additional risks may need to be considered on the basis of local scenarios, and both human and animal care regulations. For example rabies is not present in Australia – although this has been included in the list for international information; and new diseases (COVID-19 being the obvious) can emerge. No list can be exhaustive. While this research has a focus on companion animals/personal pets of older people residing in their owner's room, the understandings developed could also be of use more broadly and could encompass communal pets, visiting and other companion animals in residential aged care. For each risk identified the pre-mitigation level of risk (Severity X likelihood of occurrence) is noted; then the mitigation actions, followed by the post mitigation level of assessed risk.

It should be noted that most risks can be managed within standard required hygiene practices in Aged care settings.

SAFE – Companion Animal Multi–Species Risk Management Tool



Cover photos courtesy of (left to right); Marliese Streefland (dog), Manja Vitolic (cat), Andriyko Podilnyk (rabbits), Sharon McCutcheon (bird), Linh Nguyen (fish – Pearl Gourami. Hiding from the other one via Flickr available under CC BY-NC-ND 2.0, https://www.flickr.com/photos/lng0004/5855464616/)

Overarching Considerations

From humans to animals; animals to animals; all species to humans.

There are a range of generic risks that pertain to the inclusion of pets in communal residential settings even when predominately kept in their owner's room. These include risks from humans to animals and animals to humans in general, management of human relations with regard to animals, potential animal: animal risks and risks should pets "escape" their owner's room. This first section of the SAFE tool seeks to encompass these more general concerns.



Overarching risks from humans to animals; animals to animals; all species to humans.

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigations Warnings Pomodios	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective Resp: Facility pre assessment.	intigations, warnings, ternedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Co-residents with a history of mistreating an animal	Medium	Resp: Facility pre assessment. Objective: Keep animals safe	Preadmission assessment of all residents includes question re animal abuse history. Co-residents near pet owners' residence needs to be assessed and managed. Care Plans identify concerns & actions	Medium (needs monitoring)	Yes
Resident scared of animals in general (or particular species)	Medium	Resp: Facility pre assessment. Objective: Keep residents safe/happy (its their home)	Preadmission assessment of individual. Care Plan identifies concerns & actions. Pet alert signs for visitors	Low	Yes
Human allergies to different species	High	Resp: Facility pre assessment of resident and staff. Objective: keep residents/visitors/staff safe	Preadmission assessment of individual. Care Plan identifies allergies. Staff pre employment information, rostering processes. Pet alert signs for visitors. Staff species allergies noted. Allergic people to avoid animal areas, not touch animal(s), antihistamine use if exposed	Low	Yes
Lack of understanding of species' body language	Medium	Resp: facility. Objective: Keep people and animals safe	Facility offers in-house training and information on species' behaviour	Low	Yes
Other residents who don't like animals (intolerant animals/don't like particular species)	Medium	Resp: Facility pre assessment. Objective: Keep residents safe/happy (its their home)	Preadmission assessment of individual. Care Plan identifies animals of concern & actions. Pet alert Signs for visitors	Low	Yes
People becoming possessive of animals (causing conflict between residents)	Medium	Resp: Facility ongoing assessment. Objective: Keep animals and humans safe	Ongoing assessments, care planning & reviews. Care Plan identifies actions	Low	Yes
Tripping over pets (falling)	Medium	Resp: Facility ongoing assessment. Objective: Keep animals and humans safe	Location of pets and the appropriateness of when and where they can be moved eg on lead, in pet box. Pet care plan and regularly review	Low	Yes
Animal scratches/bites	High	Resp: facility staff, owner, veterinarian. Objective: keep humans safe	Veterinarian preadmission health and behaviour assessment of the pet, Pet care plan including claw clipping, Trial period to assess animal behaviour, Care Protocol for bites & scratches	Medium	Yes
Reverse zoonoses diseases and infections spread from human to animal (e.g. salmonella, MRSA).	Low	Resp: Facility ongoing assessment. Objective: Keep animals and humans healthy	Vet and behavioural assessment prior to entering facility. Pet care plan and regularly review. Care Plan & review personal hygiene of the resident. Maintenance of infection control program. Handlers to wash/disinfect hands prior to animal contact. If owner unwell alternate carer needed	Low	Yes
Animals spreading human diseases/infections to other humans	Low	Resp: Facility ongoing assessment. Objective: Keep animals and humans healthy	Vet and behavioural assessment prior to entering facility. Veterinary re assessment as required. Pet care plan with regular review. Maintenance of infection control program	Low	Yes

Overarching risks from humans to animals; animals to animals; all species to humans. Continued

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility		Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Remedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Nutrition (residents feeding pets - over feeding and/or inappropriate feeding)	Medium	Resp: Facility staff/ owner/ family/ vet. Objective: maintain pet health	Pet care plan identifying suitable areas for pet, eg: Keeping pets out of dining areas. Routine veterinary health assessment	Low	Yes
Inadvertent access to resident's medication	Medium	Resp: Facility ongoing assessment. Objective: Keep animals safe	Facility processes for managing medication. Assessment of resident's ability to safely manage medications - care plan for residents	Low	Yes
Noise of animals disturbs other residents	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective keep other residents happy	Trial admission period. Keep door closed	Low	Yes
Smell of animal disturbs other residents	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: effective odour management	Keep door to room closed. Regular cleaning of litter/cage. Automatic air freshener device	Low	Yes
General cleaning up of animal material eg feathers on floor, cat fur, dog hair	Medium	Resp: Facility staff/owner/ family. Objective: keep humans safe	Cleaning process and infection control process	Low	Yes
Animal injury from another resident animal	Medium	Resp: owner/Vet/facility. Objective: Keep pet safe	Pre admission behaviour assessment. Pet remains in resident room. Door kept closed. Pet alert sign on door. Pet leaves room only on leash at designated times or in pet safe carrier	Low	Yes
Animal/bird escape	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: keep pet safe	Pet remains in resident room. Resident able to keep door locked. Pet only leaves on leash or in pet safe carrier. Door kept closed. Pet alert sign on resident door and all outside doors. All pets micro-chipped or otherwise wear identification	Low	Yes

Dogs Best practice case study.



12 year old mixed-breed Bob was admitted with his owner John. Bob lives in John's room with a doggie door to a small enclosed outdoor area for toileting. John walks him on his lead in outside communal areas and Bob accompanies John to some group activities such as Happy Hour. Other residents look forward to seeing Bob and his non-attendance is a cause of concern regarding his (Bob's!) health.

As John is frail and his family are unable to visit regularly, John pays an additional sum to the facility for Bob's care. Bob's Care Plan identifies staff responsibilities including feeding, monthly worming medicine, weekly bedding wash and daily cleaning up of toileting area, and additional walks with one of the volunteers to keep him trim.

Bob stays in his outdoor area when staff provide John with personal care or room cleaning, he is bathed monthly by a visiting dog washing service. A mobile vet is available if required. Bob may only leave John's room on a lead and is not permitted in dining or food preparation areas. A large sign on the door alerts staff and visitors that Bob lives with John and not to leave the door open.

Species: Dog

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigationa Warninga Domadiaa	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Remedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Rabies	Low	Resp: Facility/owner/vet. Objective: keep humans healthy	Pre admission vet health assessment and vaccination history. NOT RELEVANT TO AUSTRALIA (CHECK LOCAL SITUATION)	Low	Yes
Bacteremic community-acquired pneumonia due to Pasteurella multocida	Medium	Resp: doctor/veterinarian/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	Residents at risk identified, treating Dr informed. Pre-admission pet veterinary health check. Discourage licking of human faces or mouth. Wash hands after interacting with dogs	Med-Low (depending on proximity to person with COPD)	Yes
Intestinal worms: Trichuris vulpis, Toxocara canis, Ancylostomidae, Echinococcus	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	Pet Care Plan identifies Intermittent wormers for intestinal worms (monthly antiparasiticide can be used to cover for mites and worms); discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with dogs, pick up faeces daily (wear gloves/use bags). Do not feed raw meat	Low	Yes
Giardia duodenalis	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	No raw meat. Feed commercial dog food only. Only use boiled or mains water, daily cleaning of faeces (use gloves or bags), discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with dogs	Low	Yes
Cryptosporidium	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	No raw meat. Feed commercial dog food only. Only use boiled or town water, pick up faeces daily (wear gloves/use bags), discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with dogs	Low	Yes
Campylobacter gastroenteritis	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	No raw meat, commercial pet food only. Pick up faeces daily (wear gloves/use bags), discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with dogs	Low	Yes
Salmonellosis	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans and pet healthy	No raw meat, commercial pet food only, pick up faeces daily (wear gloves/use bags), discourage licking of faeces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with dogs	Low	Yes
Leptospirosis	Low	Resp: resident/family/staff. Objective: keep humans safe and pet healthy	Lepto vaccination if in endemic area. Avoid contact with urine. Clean urine with gloves. Wash hands after interacting with dogs. Prevent dogs from drinking from open water bodies or getting in contact with rodents or feeding on carcasses Rodent control in facility	Low	Yes
Bordetella bronchiseptica (part of Kennel Cough)	Low	Resp: owner/Vet. Objective: Keep residents and pet healthy	Pet Care Plan identifies maintenance of routine pet vaccination against canine acute tracheobronchitis (kennel cough)	Low	Yes
Q- fever (Coxiella burnetti)	Low	Resp: owner. Objective: resident and pet health	De-sexing prior to admission, no raw meat feeding	Low	Yes
Ringworm	Low	Resp: owner. Objective: resident and pet health	Wash hands after touching dog	Low	Yes

Species: Dog Continued

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility		Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Remedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Harvest Mites	Low	Resp: owner. Objective: resident and pet health	Pet Care Plan identifies monthly antiparasiticide (to include mites)	Low	Yes
Sarcoptic mange (S. scabeii)	Low	Resp: owner. Objective: resident and pet health	Pet care plan identifies monthly antiparasiticide (to include mites), avoid interaction with wild foxes or stray dogs	Low	Yes
Fall Risk	High	Resp: facility/owner. Objective: keep humans and pet safe	Assess ability of resident to safely manage pet. Identify daily activities to assess when appropriate for pet to leave resident room. Pet to be always on harness and lead when moving to other areas in facility. Staff observation/assistance as appropriate	Low	Unknown
Jumping up, scratching	Medium	Resp: owner/Vet/facility. Objective: Keep residents safe	Pet pre-admission behavioural assessment, admission trial period. Regular grooming includes nail clipping	Low	Yes
Dog barking	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep pet healthy	Pre-admission behaviour assessment, trial admission period. Vet/ behaviour trainer assessment. Environmental/behaviour management, PLUS medical treatment if necessary depending on diagnosis and assessment by a behaviour veterinarian	Low	Yes
Dog bite	High-extreme	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: keep humans safe	Pre-admission behaviour assessment, trial admission period. Educate staff and residents on dog body language, positive reinforcement training, careful and safe handling of dogs, avoiding triggers, provide dog a safe zone to rest and retreat to (e.g. crate) Dog Bite Care Protocol	Medium	Yes
Dog hair everywhere	Low	Resp: owner, facility. Objective: reduce amount of dog hair.	Care plan identify routine brushing/cleaning of pet. Room cleaning schedule. Groom outdoors	Low	Yes
Dog sleeps on owner's bed	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: resident and pet happiness and safety	Resident decision. Trial admission period. Pet response to staff actions. Pet alert sign on door	Low	Yes
Death of animal leading to psychological distress	High	Resp: Facility/family. Objective: support grieving process	Documented bereavement support process	Medium	Yes
Dogs have inadequate exercise	Medium to High	Resp: owner. Objective: keep pet healthy	Care Plan identifies exercise requirements in relation to dog's age and health status. Family/volunteer assistance as required	Low	Yes
Dogs don't settle well into facility	Medium	Resp: owner/vet/facility. Objective: keep pet healthy	Admission trial period. Vet advice on changes in environmental management, behaviour modification and medication (if necessary)	Low	Yes
Resident(s) become inappropriately possessive leading to inappropriate response to dog(s) and/or other residents	Medium	Resp: Facility staff. Objective: resident psychological health and safety, pet safety	Ongoing Resident assessment and care planning processes and reviews	Low	Yes
Resident/Staff allergy to dogs	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: keep humans healthy	Allergic person to avoid dog area, not touch dog, antihistamine use if exposure, regular vacuuming resident / dog home. Groom outdoors	Medium	Yes

Cats

Best practice case study.

15 year old short haired rescue cat Bess lives with her owner Georgia. Bess' pre admission health assessment identified that she has been regularly vaccinated, wormed and is only fed commercial pre-cooked cat food which the Risk Framework identifies as reducing any risk to humans. She is however FIV positive. At first the manager is cautious, but she checks the SAFE companion animal, multi-species risk management tool and sees that FIV poses no risk to humans, but can be a risk to other non-vaccinated cats. But because Bess will only live in Georgia's room, and all cats on site must be vaccinated it is clear that Bess poses

no threat to humans or any other resident animals at the facility.

Georgia's room has an out of the way area under a desk for a cat litter tray, a lovely window sill and a cat scratching and climbing tree so Bess can observe from up high when not sleeping on Georgia's knee or bed.

At this time Georgia is able to care for Bess. Georgia's family visit daily and they assist Georgia by providing all the resources required to care for Bess. They also take Bess to the vet if required. Bess' Care Plan identifies how Georgia cares for her and when her worming medication is due.



Georgia puts Bess in her carry cage to keep her safe when staff enter to assist her with care needs or room cleaning. A large sign reminds keeping the door closed for Bess' safety.

Species: Cat

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigationa Marninga Demodiaa	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, warnings, kemedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Rabies	Low	Resp: Facility/owner/vet. Objective: keep humans healthy	Pre admission Vet health assessment and vaccination in regions where endemic	Low	Yes
Feline Immunodeficiency Virus (FIV)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: keep pets healthy	Pre admission Vet health assessment, vaccination records, only a risk to other non vaccinated cats. NB vaccine not available in all countries	Low	Yes
Feline Infectious Peritonitis Virus	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: keep pets healthy	Pre admission Vet health assessment, vaccination records, only a risk to other non vaccinated cats. NB vaccine not available in all countries	Low	Yes
Q- fever	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	Pre-admission Vet health assessment. De-sexing prior to admission, no raw meat feeding	Low	Yes
Toxoplasmosis	Low	Resp: owner. Objective: keep humans safe	Pre-admission Vet health assessment. No raw meat, commercial pet food only. Daily cleaning of litter tray wearing gloves	Low	Yes
Giardia	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	No raw meat. Only use boiled or mains water, daily cleaning of litter tray (use gloves or bags), discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with cat	Low	Yes
Cryptosporidium	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	No raw meat. Only use boiled or mains water, daily cleaning of litter tray (use gloves or bags), discourage licking of faces or mouth, wash hands after interacting with cat	Low	Yes
Salmonella	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	No raw meat, commercial pet food only. Daily cleaning of litter tray, using gloves	Low	Yes
Campylobacter	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	No raw meat, commercial pet food only. Daily cleaning of litter tray, using gloves	Low	Yes
Hook worm, Round worm	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	Care Plan details regular worming treatment	Low	Yes
Ringworm	Low	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: resident and pet health	Wash hands after touching cats	Low	Yes
Resident/Staff allergy to cats	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: keep humans healthy	Feed cats allergen reducing diet when possible (transition to food slowly). Allergic person to avoid cat area, not touch cat, antihistamine use if exposure, regular vacuuming resident / cat home. Groom outdoors if possible	Medium	Yes
Cat Scratch/Bite	Medium	Resp: facility/owner/ vet. Objective: keep humans safe	Veterinary pre admission behaviour assessment of the pet, Pet care plan including claw clipping, Trial period to assess behaviour, Care Protocol for bites & scratches. Reduce cat stress provide safe space when staff cleaning or providing care. Provide staff with feline body language information	Medium	Yes

Species: Cat Continued

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility		Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Remedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Cats confined to enclosure too long	Medium	Resp: owner/ vet. Objective: keep pet healthy	Cat has freedom of resident room unless staff providing care. Door to remain closed, Alert sign on door	Low	Yes
Cats don't settle well into facility - possibly demonstrated by peeing or pooing outside the tray	Medium	Resp: owner/facility/vet. Objective keep pet healthy and happy	Pre admission health assessment. Trial admission period. Take notice at first sign - can nearly always be resolved if less than 3 weeks since first incident. May require medication and environmental adjustment	Medium	Yes
Resident(s) become inappropriately possessive of cat(s) leading to inappropriate response to cats and/or other residents	Medium	Resp: Facility staff. Objective: resident psychological health and safety, pet safety	Ongoing resident assessment and care planning processes and reviews	Low	Yes
Cat hair everywhere	Low	Resp: owner, facility. Objective: reduce amount of cat hair	Care plan identify routine brushing/cleaning of pet. Room cleaning schedule	Low	Yes
Cat litter disposed inappropriately eg down common rubbish chute	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: safe disposal of waste	Provision of clearly identified closed bins for litter disposal. Staff training of bin management	Low	Yes
Cat sleeps on owner's bed	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: resident and pet safety and happiness	Resident decision. Trial admission period. Pet response to staff actions. Pet alert sign on door	Low	Yes
Cat yowling in night / other times	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: pet health and happiness	Trial admission period. Behaviour can usually be reduced once inciting cause discovered	Low	Yes
Cat maintenance - claw clipping	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: pet health and resident safety	Care plan identifies claw clipping responsibilities and regularity	Medium	Yes
Walking cat on harness or trolley tripping up others / escaping	Medium	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: safety of humans and pet	Pre-admission assessment. Trial admission period. To remain in room unless in harness and at identified acceptable times. Room door to remain shut. Pet alert sign on door	Low	Yes
Falls risk	High	Resp: facility. Objective: keep humans safe	Trial admission period. Monitor cat behaviour especially around feeding for entwining in legs. Consider flexibility of resident regarding stepping over cat. Cat to remain in owner's room or on leash	Low	Yes
Fur-balls (vomiting) / diarrhoea	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective: keep pet healthy	Observation by owner/staff. Odour and litter tray management. Avoid abrupt dietary changes. Seek veterinary advice	Medium	Yes
Death of animal leading to psychological distress	High	Resp: Facility/family. Objective: support grieving process	Documented bereavement support process	Medium	Yes

Small Mammals

Best practice case study.

Snowflake and Icecream are a bonded pair of neutered rabbits who have lived with Gwenda for the past five years, the latest pair of rabbits that have been precious pets for the past twenty years. Due to increasing frailty, and the death of her husband and carer, Gwenda agreed to moving into an aged care facility providing the rabbits could come with her.

A home that supported Gwenda's desire to have them with her was found and she moved into a large single room on the ground floor with an entrance into an enclosed courtyard. An area of the courtyard has been fenced to make an enclosure where Snowflake and Icecream can run and jump.

The bunnies have a hutch they can enter through a pet door when they want some fresh air, but they mainly choose to stay indoors in Gwenda's room where they have a safe crate for sleeping and their litter tray. Their food, leads and grooming tools are stored indoors as well. As the bunnies free ranged in Gwenda's home, they are litter trained and used to visitors and carers who provide regular care for Gwenda, Snowflake and Icecream spend lots of their time sitting on Gwenda's knees, being brushed and providing a great talking point with staff and visitors. They are moved to their crate or into the secure outdoor



area when Gwenda receives care or cleaning is being provided, and a notice on Gwenda's door advises all who enter to 'look out' for them.

Between Gwenda's grandchildren and the facilities volunteers, the hutch, litter tray and food bowls are kept clean and food provided. Under the close supervision of Gwenda's grandchildren, the rabbits are regularly taken into the enclosed courtyard to frolic and sometimes, when the weather is not too hot or cold, the bunnies go further afield for walks on their leashed harnesses, delighting other residents, staff and visitors.

Species: Small Mammals eg: Rabbit, Guinea Pig, Ferret

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigations Warnings Remedies	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective Implement and hydrons, warnings,	Pintigations, Warnings, Kennedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Ringworm	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Implement good hygiene practices- washing hands before and after touching animal, using gloves when cleaning pen, cleaning food and water bowls and other animal husbandry procedures (staff and resident), wearing a face mask when cleaning pens and disposing of bedding materials.	Low	Yes
Salmonellosis (Salmonella spp.)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Wash hands before and after touching animal, using gloves when cleaning pen, cleaning food and water bowls, wearing a face mask when cleaning pens and disposing of bedding materials	Low	Yes
Campylobacteriosis (Campylobacter spp.)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Implement good hygiene practices- washing hands before and after touching animal, using gloves when cleaning pen, cleaning food and water bowls, wearing a face mask when cleaning pens and disposing of bedding materials good animal husbandry (clean litter trays/food bowls/pens regularly e.g. once every 5-7 days)	Low	Yes
Giardiasis (Giardia spp.)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Boil or use town water. Wash hands before and after touching animal, using gloves when cleaning pen, cleaning food and water bowls, wear a face mask when cleaning pens and disposing of bedding materials	Medium	Yes
Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus (LCMV)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Wash hands before and after touching animal, using gloves when cleaning pen, cleaning food and water bowls, wearing a face mask when cleaning pens and disposing of bedding materials	Low	Yes
Sarcoptic Mange (Trixacarus caviae)	Medium	Resp: owner/vet. Objective keep humans and pet healthy	Practice good hygiene, Care Plan identifies when to apply parasite treatment (Revolution) monthly to guinea pigs and rabbits	Low	Yes
Animal Bite/scratch	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: keep humans safe	Pre-admission assessment, trial admission period. Advise resident to use patting box where animal is less likely to be stressed and bite/ scratch (rather than loose on resident's lap), resident to wear long sleeves and pants when handling animal. Keep claws trimmed	Medium	Yes
Improper care of animal e.g. poor husbandry- incorrect nutrition, not cleaning enclosure not identifying animal is sick, neglect	High	Resp: owner/Vet/facility. Objective: Keep pet healthy	Pre-admission Vet health assessment. Care Plan identifies who is responsible for what part of the animal's care. If resident is responsible for food and water- staff may still need to check daily that this has been done. Daily litter changing. Routine vet check booked once or twice/year	Medium	Yes
Animal doesn't settle well into facility - may exhibit stress signs- poor appetite, hiding	Medium	Resp: owner/vet/facility. Objective: manage pet stress	Trial admission period. Minimise noise in room when the animal is settling in (e.g. take pen outside if staff are vacuuming room). Provide hides in cages for the animals	Medium	Yes
Resident(s) injure animal- e.g. drop/rough handling	Medium	Resp: owner/Vet/facility. Objective: Keep pet safe	Pet remains in resident room. Door kept closed. Access by other residents managed by staff	Medium	Yes

Species: Small Mammals eg: Rabbit, Guinea Pig, Ferret Continued

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility		Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Remedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Animal hair	Low	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: manage amount of pet hair	Regular brushing if pet appropriate. Regular cleaning of room/vacuuming. Groom outdoors in pet run if possible	Medium	Yes
Resident(s) trip on animal enclosure	High	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: keep humans safe	Identify safe location for enclosure. Alert sign on door	Medium	Yes
Death of animal leading to psychological distress	High	Resp: Facility/family. Objective: support grieving process	Documented bereavement support process	Medium	Yes
Resident(s) become inappropriately possessive of animal(s) leading to inappropriate response to pet(s) and/or other residents	Low	Resp: Facility staff. Objective: resident psychological health and safety, pet safety	Pet remains in owner's room. Ongoing Resident assessment and care planning processes and reviews	Low	Yes

Birds

Best practice case study.

Sweetie Pie the Cockatiel has been Roma's companion and best friend for the past 10 years. Now Roma is unable to care for herself, Sweetie Pie's whistling and occasional talking as he free flies in her room keeps Roma company, and brings joy to her life in the aged care home. When Roma calls, Sweetie Pie heads back inside his cage. Staff assist by managing his food, water and night-time cage cover. Family members visit weekly and clean his cage, dispose of waste in the designated waste area and provide bird food.

Sweetie Pie's whistling also brings joy to other residents and visitors who spend time with Roma talking to and about her feathered friend.



Species: Birds

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigations Warnings Pomodias	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Kemedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Chlamydophila psittaci	Medium	Owner/Veterinarian	Pre admission Vet health assessment, trial period to observe no health deterioration	Low	Yes
Salmonella spp	Low	Owner/Veterinarian	Hand hygiene, enclosure hygiene	Low	Yes
Bird Bite/scratch	Medium	Resp: facility/owner. Objective: keep humans safe	Pre admission Veterinarian health & assessment, positive reinforcement based training. Remain in owner's room, alert sign on door	Low	Yes
Bird(s) have inadequate exercise/ or housing	Medium	Resp: Facility/owner/vet. Objective: appropriate enclosure for bird health	Pre-admission assessment to ensure housing appropriate, ensure maximum time for free ranging in owner's room	Low	Yes
Birds don't settle well into facility	Medium	Resp: owner/facility. Objective: keep pet safe	Pre-admission assessment. Trial admission period	Low	Yes
Death of animal leading to psychological distress	High	Resp: Facility/family. Objective: support grieving process	Documented bereavement support process	Medium	Yes
Resident(s) become inappropriately possessive of bird(s) leading to inappropriate response to bird(s) and/or other residents	Low	Resp: Facility staff. Objective: resident psychological health and safety, pet safety	Bird remains in owner's room. Ongoing Resident assessment and care planning processes and reviews	Low	Yes

Fish

Best practice case study.

Barry has a lifelong passion for tropical fish and has an international profile in their care. However, with advancing dementia Barry has had to move into a secure setting. In consultation with the facility, family members move one of Barry's many aquariums into his room. Initially Barry is able to provide advice and helps to write a detailed information sheet about the aquarium and best tropical fish care practise that is posted above the tank. Sadly, in time, Barry loses his ability to communicate verbally, but staff frequently observe him watching as the fish swim around their tank.



weaving through the swaying plants and ornaments. Staff feed the fish as part of Barry's care plan, and a volunteer regularly checks the water quality and performs partial water changes ensuring the wellbeing of fish and plant life.

Species: Fish

Pre-Mitigation		Responsibility	Mitigations Warnings Romadias	Post-Mitigation	
Risk	Risk Level	& Objective	Mitigations, Warnings, Kemedies	Risk Level	Proceed?
Possible zoonotic infections such as Mycobacteriosis, Aeromonas, Vibriosis	Low	Resp: owner/family. Objective keep humans and fish healthy	Fish tank to remain in owner's room to reduce inappropriate access	Low	Yes
Fish disease through poor tank maintenance, continuous introductions	Low	Resp: owner/family. Objective keep fish healthy	Fish Care Plan identifies responsibilities for tank maintenance use professional if owner/family unable to safely maintain tank	Low	Yes
Death of individual fish or whole tank causing distress to resident	Medium	Resp: owner/family. Objective keep fish healthy	Fish Care Plan identifies responsibilities for tank maintenance use professional if owner/family unable to safely maintain tank. Documented bereavement support process	Medium	Yes
Overfeeding of fish causing individual or whole tank problems	Medium	Resp: owner/family. Objective keep fish healthy	Fish Care Plan identifies responsibilities for feeding	Low	Yes
Risk of injury due to presence of glass fish tank	Medium	Resp: Facility/owner. Objective: keep humans safe	Pre admission assessment of room to identify safe position for fish tank	Low	Yes
Introduction of incompatible eg cannibalistic new fish	Medium	Resp: owner/family. Objective: keep fish safe	Fish Care Plan identifies responsibilities for fish care including safe replacement species	Low	Yes
Power outage	Medium	Resp: Facility. Objective: keep fish safe	Automatic emergency/back up sources of power identified when fish tank set up as appropriate to species	Low	Yes

Suggested Tools

Suggested Tools to Support Risk Management Process

- Policy and guidelines for documenting pet admission decision making process.
- Pet Suitability Assessment: form completed by human resident and animal carer (eg Vet) to identify if pet is suitable for living in the facility.
- Veterinary Health Assessment.
- Pet care plan: identifying vaccination, worming and flea control schedules; ongoing medications/treatments and day to day care requirements including waste management and designated carer.
- Pet Accommodation & Care Agreement: documenting circumstances under which pet may live in facility; individuals responsible for daily care of pet; circumstances that may require a pet to leave.

- Staff & resident attitudes to pets assessment.
- Infection Control policies and practices specific to pets.
- Pet scratch/bite treatment protocol.
- Re-homing of Resident Pet Agreement: identifies persons responsible for the removal of the pet in the event of hospitalization or permanent departure of the resident.
- Bereavement support response model for when pets die in care (encompassing owner, other residents and staff as needed).

Animal Care Resources

Please note: The links provided on this page were correct at the time of publication. Please use a search engine if the links stop working.

Animal Therapies Ltd (2020) Australian Code of Conduct for the Animal-Assisted Services Sector https://www.animaltherapies.org.au/download-documents/

Animal Therapies Ltd (2021) Code of Ethics https://www.animaltherapies.org.au/download-documents/

International Association of Human-Animal Interaction Organizations (IAHAIO) (2014/18)

The IAHAIO Definitions for Animal Assisted Intervention and Guidelines for Wellness of Animals involved in AAI. https://iahaio.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/iahaiowhite-paper-2018-english.pdf

International Association of Human-Animal Interaction Organizations (IAHAIO) (2021)

IAHAIO international guidelines on care, training and welfare requirements for small animals in animal-assisted interventions. https://iahaio.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/forpublication-small-animal-care-and-welfare-in-aai-1.pdf

Murthy, R., Bearman, G., Brown, S., Bryant, K., Chinn, R., Hewlett, A., . . . Weber, D. (2015)

Animals in Healthcare Facilities: Recommendations to Minimize Potential Risks

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 36(5), 495–516. doi:10.1017/ice.2015.15

https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge_ core/content/view/7086725BAB2AAA4C1949DA5B90F06F3B/ S0899823X1500015Xa.pdf/div-class-title-animals-inhealthcare-facilities-recommendations-to-minimize-potentialrisks-div.pdf

National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians (2017)

Compendium of Measures to Prevent Disease Associated with Animals in Public Settings, NASPHV Animal Contact Compendium Committee, available online.

http://www.nasphv.org/documentsCompendiumAnimals.html

NDIS & Feros care (n.d.)

Emergency Pet Plan

Emergency pet plan, developed by NDIS and Feros care. https://www.feroscare.com.au/ndis/projects/emergency-pet-plan

SA Department of Health and Ageing (2015) Animal Contact Guidelines

Reducing the risk of illness associated with animal contact, South Australian Department for Health and Ageing (Health Protection Branch and Communicable Disease Control Branch), Adelaide, South Australia.

https://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/ public+content/sa+health+internet/public+health/ animal+contact+-+reducing+the+risk+of+illness

Society for Companion Animal Studies (2013/19)

Animal Assisted Interventions: SCAS Code of Practice for the UK. http://www.scas.org.uk/animal-assisted-interventions/code-ofpractice/

Key Organisations

International Association of Human-Animal Interaction Organizations (IAHAIO)

https://iahaio.org/

IAHAIO "is the global association of organizations that engage in practice, research and/or education in animal assisted activity, animal assisted therapy, and service animal training. These activities serve to promote pet ownership, the human-animal bond, and respectful approaches to engaging with animals."

IAHAIO has a number of guidelines, best practise and standards documents collaboratively developed by both human and animal experts. They are updated on a routine basis.

Society for Companion Animal Studies (SCAS)

http://www.scas.org.uk/

Founded in 1979, SCAS is a One Health membership organisation that encourages best practice in human-animal interactions and influences policies. Its members include veterinary, health, education and social care professionals.

SCAS has developed a range of documents including a Code of Practice for Animal Assisted Interventions (AAI) in the UK. These are guidelines specifically aimed at activities such as introducing visiting animals, resident animals, and therapeutic or educational engagement with animals.

Animal Therapies Ltd

https://animaltherapies.org.au

Animal Therapies Ltd is the Australian organisation established in 2018 to create connections between recipients, the private, public, education and community sectors in the diverse animal assisted services sector in Australia.

Standards of practise to ensure the safety, ethical engagement and care of all involved in the sector (humans and animals) are being developed and established for the Australian context.

Evidence Sources

Evidence based references to support the role of pets in aged care settings (NB focus is on personal pets not animal assisted interventions, visiting etc).

Pets as supports in Ageing

Consistent findings: pets reduce loneliness (a mortality factor), provide meaning, motivation and purpose in life, and facilitate owners relationships with other people.

Bolstad, C. Porter, B. Brown, C. Kennedy, R. & Nadorff, M. (2021) The Relation Between Pet Ownership, Anxiety, and Depressive Symptoms in Late Life: Propensity Score Matched Analyses. Anthrozoös, 34(5), 671–684.

Gee, N. & Mueller, M. (2019) A Systematic Review of Research on Pet Ownership and Animal Interactions among Older Adults. Anthrozoös, 32(2), 183–207.

Hawkins, R. Hawkins, E. & Tip, L. (2021) "I Can't Give Up When I Have Them to Care for": People's Experiences of Pets and Their Mental Health. Anthrozoös, 34(4), 543–562.

McConnell, A. Paige Lloyd, E. & Humphrey, B. (2019) We Are Family: Viewing Pets as Family Members Improves Wellbeing. Anthrozoös, 32(4), 459–470. McNicholas J. Gilbey A. Rennie A. Ahmedzai S. Dono J. & Ormerod E. (2005) Pet ownership and human health: a brief review of evidence and issues. The British Medical Journal, 331(7527), 1252–1254.

Young, J. Bowen-Salter, H. O'Dwyer, L. Stevens, K. Nottle, C. & Baker, A. (2020) Pets as suicide protection in older people. Anthrozoös, 33, 191–205.

Pets and physical health outcomes

The most powerful pet-effects seem to be on mental and social health. However, impacts re physical health have also been documented.

Friedmann, E. & Thomas, S. (1995) Pet ownership, social support, and one-year survival after acute myocardial infarction in the cardiac arrythmia suppression trial (CAST) American Journal of Cardiology, 76, 1213–1217.

Friedmann, E. Thomas, S. & Son, H. (2011) Pets, Depression and Long-term Survival in Community Living Patients Following Myocardial Infarction. Anthrozoös, 24(3), 273–285. Qureshi, A. Memon, M. Vazquez, G. & Suri, F. (2009) Cat ownership and the Risk of Fatal Cardiovascular Diseases. Results from the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Study Mortality Followup Study. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Neurology, 2(1): 132–135.

Raina, P. Waltner-Toews, D. Bonnett, B. Woodward, C. & Abernathy T. (1999) Influence of companion animals on the physical and psychological health of older people: An analysis of a one-year longitudinal study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, Vol 47(3), 323–329.

Pets as supports for vulnerable population groups

Pet ownership may be a positive for most owners; however, when human: human engagements are difficult, the impact of relationships with pets is magnified.

Brooks, H. Rushton, K. Lovell, K. Bee, P. Walker, L. Grant, L. & Rogers, A. (2018) The power of support from companion animals for people living with mental health problems: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of the evidence. BMC Psychiatry, 18(1), 31–31.

Brooks, H. Rogers, A. Kapadia, D. Pilgrim, J. Reeves, D. & Vassilev, I. (2013) Creature comforts: personal communities, pets and the work of managing a long-term condition. Chronic Illness, 9(2), 87–102.

Young, J. Pritchard, R. Nottle, C. & Banwell, H. (2020) Pets, touch, and COVID-19: health benefits from non-human touch through times of stress. Journal of Behavioural and Economic Policy, 14(s2), 25-33. <u>https://sabeconomics.org/wordpress/wpcontent/uploads/JBEP-4-S2-3.pdf</u>

Guidance to support older pet owners

Useful knowledge for veterinarians, human health care workers, service system developers

Enders-Slegers M. & Hediger, K. (2019) Pet Ownership and Human–Animal Interaction in an Aging Population: Rewards and Challenges. Anthrozoös, 32:2, 255–265.

International Federation on Ageing (2014) Measuring the Benefits: Companion Animals and the Health of Older Persons (Full Report) Access at https://ifa.ngo/wp-content/ uploads/2014/09/Companion-Animals-and-Older-Persons-Full-Report-Online.pdf

Newton, W. Signal, T. & Judd, J. (2021) The guidelines and policies that influence the conduct of Animal-Assisted Activities in Residential Aged-Care Facilities: A systematic integrative review. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 44, 101395–101395.

Ormerod, E. (2012) Supporting older people with pets in sheltered housing. In Practice, 34(3), 170–173.

Siegel, J. (1990) Stressful Life Events and Use of Physician Services among the Elderly: The Moderating Role of Pet Ownership. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 58, 1081–1086.

Cautions re Pets

Not everyone wants a pet; pet owning can have negatives – grief at pet deaths, financial costs, concerns re animal needs.

Chur-Hansen, A. Winefield, H. & Beckwith, M. (2008) Reasons Given by Elderly Men and Women for Not Owning a Pet, and the Implications for Clinical Practice and Research. Journal of Health Psychology, 13(8), 988–995.

Obradović, N. Lagueux, E. Michaud, F. & Provencher, V. (2020) Pros and cons of pet ownership in sustaining independence in community-dwelling older adults: a scoping review. Ageing and Society, 40(9), 2061–2076.



For more information:

University of South Australia

Dr Janette Young Allied Health & Human Performance

Convenor: Pets & People Research (PePR) National Community of Practice

+61 8 8302 2616 janette.young@unisa.edu.au